

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,694	09/24/2001	Kenichi Kotoku	862.C2453	5254
5514 7590 03/04/2003 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ	
NEW TORK, NT 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Parks Hessanzadeh 1763			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Parviz Hassanzadeh The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elevations of the many be availation used the provisioned of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of the provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of the provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of the provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of the provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of The Provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply daty which may be considered printed and high provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after 95X (b) MONTH of The Provision of The Provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply and the communication. In the provision of JCPR 1.136(a) after 95X (b) MONTH of The Provision of The Provision of JCPR 1.136(a). In no event of the communication, even if tender place and a subject of the communication. In no event of the mailing date of the communication, even if tender place and subject on 13 February 2003. Status 1) Mesophication is FinAl. 2b) This action is finAl. 2c) This action is finAl. 2c) This action is finAl. 2d) This action is finA	•		09/960,694	KOTOKU, KENICHI			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. - Care the control of the process of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In one earth, however, may a reply be timely fled - Care the control of the process of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In one earth, however, may a reply be timely fled - Care the control of the process of 5 CFR 1.136(a). In one earth, however, may a reply be timely fled - The period for reply specified shown, the small control of 5 CFR 1.136(a). In one earth, however, may a reply be timely fled - If NO period for reply specified shown, the small control of 5 CFR 1.136(a). In one earth, however, may a reply be timely fled or the process of the communication. - If NO period for reply specified shown, the small care the process of the communication of		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN THE MALING PROPERTY OF THE MALING PROPERTY OF THE MALING PROPERTY. - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN THE MALING PROPERTY (1) MONTHS FROM THE MALING PROPERTY. - If NO period for reply is specified property and the property (1) Months of the maling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified property of the property will by statute, cause the application to become ASANDONED (3s U.S. § 133). - Any reply received by the Order test than there mentioned shart for hamiling date of this communication, even it immay filed, may reduce any searced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFt 1.794(b). - Status 1) Separate term adjustment. See 37 CFt 1.794(b). - Status 1) Shortened them objective to communication(s) filled on 13 February 2003. - 2a) This action is FINAL. - 2b) This action is non-final. - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims - 4) Claim(s)15 is sare property and the mention of the property of the same of the property of			Parviz Hassanzadeh				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETT O EXPIRE 9 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sements of NOTH'S from the mailing date of the communication. If the period for reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory period of reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory period of reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory period of reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory period of reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory period of reply sepacified above is less than thry (30) days, a reply, which he statutory delivers and the reply which he office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any sepace and control of the communication o			pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eachestons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flad offer SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date mailing offer and mitric (30) days, a reply within the stubbory minimum of their provision of the provi	Period for	Reply	V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re earner	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the polytroperized by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not neceived. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent App		Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2003 .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of Partsperspons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	. 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.B. This sold St. P. In 1935 C.B. This sold St. P. In 193	·	o: this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters.	, prosecution as to the merits is			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 400 C.S. 210.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-		an .				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _24 September _2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
6 Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1						
8	/						
Application Papers 9)			or election requirement.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) 🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	100⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) Ø objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
a)	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10			
a)	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Ì	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rdie 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 14) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	*	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (F10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) 🗆 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

A .. TT...!4. 17/2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-12, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims can be searched simultaneously. This is not found persuasive because search required for the non-elected groups are not coextensive with the search required for group I as shown by their different classification.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 13-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 12, lines, 7, 17, 23, on page 14, line 17, and on page 21, line 15, it is suggested to delete "102" and substitute therefor "120" in accord with Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,694

Art Unit: 1763

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 110 in Fig. 1. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi (US Patent No. 5,526,093).

Takahashi teaches an exposure apparatus (Fig. 1) for sequentially performing exposure of device pattern provided in a pattern effective area of a photo-mask on to shot areas of a wafer, the apparatus comprising:

an illumination unit including optical members 2, 3, 4, and a light source 1 for collectively illuminating the entire pattern effective area of the photo-mask contained within the illumination range with exposure light;

Application/Control Number: 09/960,694

Art Unit: 1763

a reticle 7 held on a movable reticle stage 44 (mask stage) wherein the reticle 7 having a device pattern to be projected onto a wafer 9 through a projection optical system 8, wherein the mask stage moves the photo-mask in X-direction;

a wafer holder 41 mounted on a wafer stage 42 (wafer stage) supporting a wafer 9, wherein the wafer stage moves in both x and Y directions; and

a controller 43 (control means) controlling the sequential movement of the reticle stage 44 in the X-direction and wafer stage 42 in the X-direction and the Y-direction and in synchronized with the reticle stage (column 3, lines 10-67).

The apparatus as taught by Takahashi is inherently capable of being used to perform exposure under the conditions cited in claims 5, 6 and 12.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ozawa (US Patent No. 6,154,270) teach an exposure apparatus including a controller fro controlling the mask stage, wafer stage and laser system; and

Nishi et al (US Patent No. 5,883,704) teach an exposure apparatus including a controller fro controlling the mask stage, wafer stage and laser system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,694

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703)308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

P. Hamy-M. Parviz Hassanzadeh

Examiner Art Unit 1763

February 25, 2003